

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/811,047		03/26/2004	Reimar Nestler	NESTLER-1	9527	
25889	7590	12/06/2006		EXAMINER		
WILLIAM COLLARD			CROW, STEPHEN R			
		OULEVARD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ROSLYN,	NY 1157	6		3764		
				DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,047	NESTLER, REIMAR	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steve R. Crow	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory portain to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	21 September 2006.		
·— ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applica	ition.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	<i>:</i>
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu		st received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	it receiveu.	
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)</li> </ol>		r Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date6~(8 ~ 04)</li> </ol>		Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 4-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 9-21-06.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of the species req't in the reply filed on 9-21-06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the additional searching is not burdensome and is not unreasonable. This is not found persuasive because although the species are directed to related elliptical exercise devices, they are distinct because the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the species are distinct because all of the above species have structural differences which would not permit one species to read upon another species without the additional of a secondary teaching.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the electrical vibration means, and the claims 4-6 nonelected variations (if rejoined), must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended

Application/Control Number: 10/811,047

Art Unit: 3764

replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the detailed relationship between structure essential to the operability of the device as described in the specification. Figure 1 appears to be a stick figure merely showing a draft figure before its improvement for reduction to practice. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as

"amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Cheng.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,047

Art Unit: 3764

8. The claims appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Page 5

- 9. Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "plurality of modules" in claim 1 is not understood. The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.
- 10. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

11. Claims 1-3,7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Elliptical exercise structure critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). See the above remarks with respect to the Drawings.

## Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

13.

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R. Crow whose telephone number is 571-272-4973. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332

ARMI

SC